

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/649,171	SAIKA, NOBUYUKI	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	KRISTIE D. SHINGLES	2441	

**All Participants:**  
  
(1) KRISTIE D. SHINGLES, Examiner.  
  
(2) John Farrell, Atty.  
  
**Date of Interview:** 5 December 2008

**Status of Application:** ALLOWED  
  
(3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
  
(4) \_\_\_\_\_.  
  
**Time:** 4:00pm

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)  
  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:    ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**  
  
Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*  
  
Claims discussed:  
*1, 7, 8, 13 and 14*  
  
Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**  
  
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Upon Primaries approval, Examiner suggested to Atty an Examiner's Amendment to incorporate the limitations of claim 8 into independent claims 1 and 7, and incorporating the limitations of claim 14 into independent claim 13. Atty authorized Examiner to make the proposed amendments.*

**Part III.**  
  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/K.D.S./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2441

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

